## United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division Investigative Activity Report

**Case Number:** 1000-0494

Case Title:	Reporting Office:
Cooke Aquaculture Fish Farm Release	Seattle, WA, Area Office
Subject of Report:	Activity Date:
2018-05-22 Phone Interview of	May 22, 2018
Reporting Official and Date:	Approving Official and Date:
Agent	
25-MAY-2018, Signed by:	05-JUN-2018, Approved by:
25-M11-2010, Signed by.	
SYNOPSIS	
On May 22, 2018, SA conducted a phone interview of regarding his interactions with Cooke Aquaculture.	
DETAILS	
<u>DETAILS</u>	
On May 22, 2018, I conducted a phone interview of	
regarding his interactions with Cooke Aquaculture. After being not	
agent and the nature of the interview, in substance, provide	ed the following information:
BACKGROUND:	
	m Cooke Aquaculture relays the fish
counts and	
is upset and sad about the incident because of the damage it has	done to the aquaculture business in the
area. and many others make their living from this type of activit	y. is disappointed this occurred
because Cooke Aquaculture was just about to start putting funding i	nto their salt water operations and then
Cypress Island occurred.	
HISTORY OF ESCAPES:	
is aware of similar issues with net pens breaking their respective	
pens in both the Fort Ward area and Orchard Rocks area broke loose owners of the net pens allowed them to completely break loose and	
to keep the net pens intact and not destroy themselves by being tied	

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

OCEFT Form 3-01 (01/10) Page 1 of 3

### United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division Investigative Activity Report

#### **Case Number:**

JULY INCIDENT:

1000-0494

issues. In these cases, when the net pen was recaptured, the fish within were harvested and removed from the net pens.

# The FV Harvestor was loading fish from Net Pen #3 when the July 2017 incident occurred. When the net pen #2 issues occurred, feels that when the site broke loose, that Cooke Aquaculture out of the way and took over the incident. made sure was in charge and everything was done the way wanted it done. be a "know it all" and the site managers were not very happy with attitude. The resident site managers for Cypress Island wanted to harvest the fish from net pen #2, but that did not happen. There were "easily" several chances to save the fish from the net pens during the July incident. that they could have harvested a couple of the pens, or taken all the fish out of the pens to reduce drag from the nets in the current. The FV Harvestor can hold 400,000 pounds of fish and could have easily harvested the net pen over an approximate ten-day period. As an example, recently harvested 150,000 fish from the Hope Island net pen, which was only half ship's capacity. does not know who made the final decision to not harvest the fish in July, but believes it was decision. Cooke Aquaculture could have pulled off the predator nets and possibly even released the net pen from its moorings to keep it from collapsing, but had the idea that they were going to stabilize the net pen by adding tension and chains to the system. These types of current and tide changes always happen at the end of the month and with the added drag of dirty nets in the summer, this was a dire situation in which to place the net pen. There were lots of issues with net pen #2 and the drag effect underwater. would describe a net pen like an iceberg, a large part of a net pen is below the water creating drag. **AUGUST INCIDENT:** was called by Cooke Aquaculture representative, a harvest supervisor, to assist in removing the fish from the net pens. When first arrived, harvested approximately 5,000 fish and gave them to the FV Polar Lady so could stay on scene and assist. Eventually the FV Harvestor pumped and took on all the recovered fish for eventual delivery to a rendering plant.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

.

OCEFT Form 3-01 (01/10) Page 2 of 3

**Case Number:** 1000-0494

## United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division Investigative Activity Report

FISH COUNT:
believes that it is impossible to accurately say how many fish were recovered and possibly escaped because of the poor conditions of the fish when they were being counted. speculates that a lot of the fish were rolled up in the net and probably caused the net to burst which released them onto the ocean floor
, who has since left the company was one of the harvest managers worked with often. knows that was working under for a time and was one of the main fish counters during the August harvest. used a fish counter, but this was difficult as the fish had been torn into pieces by the nets and the pumping machine. would "scribble" numbers down and give the numbers to does not know if the numbers are accurate or if "made them up."
CLAM BAY 2017:
is not aware of a net pen in the Clam Bay area breaking loose from its moorings in May of 2017. doesn't believe that this can even happen because Cooke Aquaculture's net pen in that area are "Pro Ocean Systems" net pens. In opinion, this type of net pen is very strong and are not going to break their moorings with continual maintenance of the tension of moorings.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA. It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

OCEFT Form 3-01 (01/10) Page 3 of 3